

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES OF CASES.

Ancient Lights—Obstruction—Measure of Damages,—Griffith v. Clav (1912) 2 Ch. 291. In this case the simple question was, what is the proper measure of damages for obstruction of ancient lights in the following circumstances. The plaintiff was the owner of two houses fronting on a street and the windows facing the street were ancient lights. The defendant erected a building on the opposite side of the street which obstructed the plaintiff's ancient lights. The plaintiff's houses were old and dilapidated and would soon have to be demolished; the neighborhood had ceased to be residential, but was adapted for factories and workshops, and the site of the houses, together with a piece of land in the rear thereof, also owned by the plaintiff would form a building site suitable for a warehouse or factory and the value of this building site as a whole would be diminished by the obstruction of the light in front. Neville, J., held that the damages recoverable by the plaintiff were not limited to the depreciation in the value of the two houses, but extended to the loss in value of the whole of the plaintiff's premises considered as one building site, and this conclusion was affirmed by the Court of Appeal (Cozens-Hardy, M. R., and Buckley, and Kennedy, L. JJ.).— Canada Law Journal for September.

Note.—While this case is of little practical value in this country, because the doctrine of ancient lights was never adopted to any extent in the United States as being unsuited to the conditions of a growing country, yet it is interesting to know that as late as 1912 old England still adheres to this doctrine that is a distinct clog to progress, and for that reason was repudiated in Virginia at an early date. Tunstall v. Christian, 80 Va. 1. It is not adapted to the rapid physical development of the country, especially in cities and towns, and controversies arising therefrom are always attended with much bitterness and ill-feeling on the part of the litigants. The doctrine in England has been crystalized in a statute and even overrides local customs and usages. See State 2 and 3 Wm. IV, c. 71, § 3, known as the Prescription Act.

Marriage with Deceased Wife's Sister—Rejection from Communion—Lawful Course.—In Thompson v. Dibdin (1912) A. C. 533, the House of Lords (Lord Loreburn, L. C., and Lords Macnaghten, Atkinson, Shaw, and Robson), have determined that members of the Church of England who marry their deceased wife's sisters, cannot properly be regarded by clergymen of the Church of England as "notorious evil livers." In the opinion of their Lordships, it is an immaterial circumstance that the ecclesiastical authorities regard such marriages as a breach of the Divine law, so that according to this decision a person may be a wilful violator of what the Church